

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/790,365	Applicant(s) FELS ET AL.
Examiner EDNA WONG		Art Unit 1795	

All Participants:

(1) EDNA WONG.

(2) Thomas W. Adams.

Date of Interview: 2 December 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 12:17 pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,4,6,10,16 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted by Applicants' representative to amend claims 1,6,10,16 and 17. Details of the Examiner's Amendment are set forth herewith.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Edna Wong/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)